1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
2	
3	XOUCHI JONATHAN THAO, Special ) Administrator for the Estate of ) KONGCHI JUSTIN THAO, )
5	Plaintiff, )
6	vs. ) Case No. CIV-19-1175-JD
7	GRADY COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE )
8	AUTHORITY, et al, )
9	Defendant. )
10	)
11	)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	DEPOSITION OF A.E. DANIEL
17	TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT
18	JUNE 7, 2023
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Q And is that at the court reporter's office?
2	A I believe so, yes, that is correct.
3	Q And is the Columbia, Missouri the town where
4	you live?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. And we are all taking this deposition
7	via Zoom to save on cost and things of that nature. Is
8	everyone in agreement that we can proceed via Zoom? Is
9	there any opposition at this time?
10	MR. BRYAN: Not from me.
11	MR. ARTUS: Particularly from you, Spencer?
12	MR. BRYAN: No.
13	Q (By Mr. Artus) Um, Dr. Daniel, would you
14	provide the court reporter your full legal name and you
15	might need to spell your first name?
16	A AE Daniel, first name is spelled
17	A-n-a-s-s-e-r-i-l.
18	Q And and you are a medical doctor; is that
19	correct?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q I have a copy of your CV, curriculum vitae.
22	Why don't we just get started. I'm kind of not very good
23	at this Zoom stuff but I think I might be able to do some
24	of this stuff. I'm going to try anyway. Bear with me. Do
25	you have a copy of your report in front of you, sir?



1	A Yes, I do.
2	Q The report that I have is dated if it is
3	dated March 13, 2023. Is that the one you have in front
4	of you?
5	A That is correct.
6	Q And the report itself is actually seven pages
7	long but the whole thing including your CV, list of cases
8	you have testified in, and fee schedule makes a total of 27
9	pages. Does that sound right to you?
10	A That's sounds right.
11	Q For the purposes of this deposition, I don't
12	know how the court reporter is going to do this, I am going
13	to share the screen I think. Can you see this, Doctor?
14	A Yes, I can see that.
15	Q And this appears to be the report I was
16	talking about. If you go to page 8 page 7, sorry. That
17	seems to be the end of the report showing it's dated
18	March 13, 2023. Do you agree with that?
19	A That is correct.
20	Q And does this that I'm scrolling through
21	appear to be the same report that you're looking at right
22	now?
23	A That is correct.
24	Q Okay. And I understand that the report you
25	have has your handwriting on it; is that correct?



1	A Yes, I just made some checkmarks. That's all.
2	Q Okay. I would request that the court reporter
3	does get a copy of that as Defendant exhibit in this case.
4	We'll start with six because I think I got five or so to go
5	with. So can the court reporter do that?
6	MR. BRYAN: Yes. She can produce it to us and
7	then we can produce it to you.
8	MR. ARTUS: No, I want it as part of the
9	transcript.
10	MR. BRYAN: Then we object.
11	Q (By Mr. Artus) Where do you have your writing
12	on this report, Doctor?
13	A Actually I have just put checkmarks, three
14	points.
15	Q Where did you put your checkmarks on this
16	report?
17	A On page 6.
18	Q And I'm now on page 6, where did you put the
19	checkmarks?
20	A Okay. That is against second paragraph of
21	Item 7 and second paragraph of Item 8 and the third
22	paragraph of Item 8.
23	Q Okay. Where else do you have checkmarks?
24	A No. I have underlined three or four phrase
25	against the checkmark.



1	Q It's probably better that way.
2	A Okay. I was trained as a psychiatrist in
3	India at the All India Institute of Mental Health,
4	Bangalore and I got my diploma in psychological medicine.
5	Then I immigrated to the United States in 1974 and took my
6	residency in psychiatry at the University of Missouri in
7	St. Louis campus. Later I completed a fellowship in child
8	adolescence and psychiatry. Subsequently I was board
9	certified in adult general psychiatry in 1976. Then I
10	became board certificate in child adolescence psychiatry in
11	1981. In the meanwhile I went to England to get board
12	certified in UK. That is known as membership of the Royal
13	College of Psychiatrist. Subsequently in 1984 I was
14	certified by the American College of Forensic Psychology.
15	Those are my board certifications.
16	Q Are they still current?
17	A Yes, they are current.
18	Q All of your board certifications that are
19	listed on your resume on page 1 of your resume showing on
20	the screen right now is still current; is that correct?
21	A Correct.
22	MR. BRYAN: Andy, you don't have anything
23	showing on the screen at least on mine.
24	MR. ARTUS: Oh, that's right. I forgot.
25	Q (Mr. Artus) Can you see that, Doctor?



1	Q Is there anything you have reviewed since this
2	time that is not on this list?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What are they?
5	A That's the rebuttal report, the plaintiff
6	report that has been forwarded to me, the three reports.
7	Q Okay. So you looked at Dr. Ream's report and
8	Dr. Hough's report and I think there is another expert that
9	Jessica Dark presented for the TASER guy. I can't remember
10	his name.
11	A Correct.
12	Q And so those aren't on this list. In response
13	you did a rebuttal report for Dr. Reames and Dr. Hough; is
14	that correct?
15	A That is correct.
16	Q Did you do any other rebuttal report for any
17	other expert?
18	A No.
19	Q Are you holding yourself out as a TASER
20	expert?
21	A No.
22	Q As a use of force expert?
23	A Use of force expert, no. Even though I have
24	written about use of force in my book.
25	Q Right. But that's not how you're holding

1	yourself out today. You're not going to be able to talk
2	about or not going to give any opinions as to support
3	Mr. Henneman, I think that's right, used excessive force
4	against Mr. Thao, will you?
5	A Well, I have, as you know, I have opined that
6	the officers, including Mr. Henneman, should have used a
7	deescalation techniques to calm Mr. Thao down.
8	Q Do you have any opinion as to whether
9	A To that extent I have opined about the use of
10	force.
11	Q Okay. But as far as whether or not
12	Mr. Henneman was correct or not in using a taser, you don't
13	have the opinion; is that correct?
14	A I have no opinion about the techniques or the
15	use of TASER. I have an opinion
16	Q Go ahead?
17	A As I have indicated in my report, deescalation
18	techniques should have been used to calm him down. So that
19	means that the use of stun gun should have been avoided.
20	Q Okay. We'll follow up with that and I'm sure
21	Jessica Dark will too. Let's go back to your report. We
22	talked about you have reviewed the defendant's expert
23	report and then you have done your own rebuttal about Dr.
24	Reames and Dr. Hough. You have testified about that,
25	correct?

1	MR. ARTUS: You can coach him all you want.
2	The video will speak for itself.
3	Q (By Mr. Artus) Did you understand the
4	question, Doctor, or do you need me to repeat it?
5	A I do. The referral to mental health person
6	depends upon the totality of circumstances. So you have to
7	look at what he has been doing during the time when the
8	officers were handling him. So at precisely if you ask
9	at that point does he require a mental health evaluation,
10	the answer is maybe, who knows.
11	Q Right. Okay. So was it appropriate for the
12	officer to take him down to the ground when Mr. Thao
13	attempted to run out the door?
14	A I don't know, you know, if that would have
15	been appropriate procedure. My testimony is they should
16	have asked him what is going on with him. He was not
17	Q So when he was
18	A He was not threatening anybody.
19	Q Okay. So it's not threatening to run out the
20	door of your prison cell. That would be so they should
21	have just let him run out and then kind of done what?
22	MR. BRYAN: Object to form.
23	Q (By Mr. Artus) Tracked him down and then asked
24	him what he was doing?
25	MR. BRYAN: Object to form; argumentative.



A I'm not saying they should let him run out.
Basically they should have basically intervened and stopped
him but not to throw him down to the floor and overpower
him. That has set in the motion set in motion his,
basically his frustration. His difficulty to handle the
confinement at the time. So what the officer should have
done is to basically, you know, not to throw him on the
floor but to basically physically stopped him in his way
and then asked him questions and tried to deescalate the
condition.
Q (By Mr. Artus) And of course the people who
are up on the floor is a female nurse and female guard and
one male guard. You're saying those three people should
have should not have tried to put him on the ground,
correct?
A Putting on the ground was done by the officer
who came a few minutes later.
Q So did you not see that he was taken to the
ground immediately after he rushed out the door?
A I think the call for help and other officers
came in and they put him down.
Q Okay. How should they have deescalated? How
do you deescalate someone who is kicking at you and
fighting you?

I think he didn't kick at anybody, did he?

Α

1	Q Okay. So how should they deescalate?
2	A Deescalation should be simply asking
3	questions, what bothers you? Why you are attempting to
4	leave the situation? Just tone him down and then he would
5	have he was a 20 year old young fellow. He was not
6	threatening anybody.
7	Q So you think that they should have just talked
8	to him and he would have calmed down?
9	A Well, if that didn't work then of course you
10	go to the next step of taking him down or whatever you want
11	to do. The point is immediately he was thrown to the
12	ground.
13	Q Okay. And so any other deescalation
14	techniques that should have been done?
15	A Well, the officer should be should have
16	been trained to do the deescalation. That's a technique
17	they use in most of these situations. Essentially meaning
18	that, you know, take the situation a notch down, calm him
19	down. If still he continues to leave or even personally
20	threaten one of the officers, which he didn't, and then
21	should have been then you go to the next level of using
22	the force.
23	Q At what point in time did Mr. Thao become
24	suicidal in your opinion?
25	A I can't precisely say at what point but

1	Q Did you say you can or cannot?
2	A I can, c-a-n.
3	Q Okay. But you think they would just be wrong
4	if they thought that?
5	A I don't make any judgment whether it's wrong
6	or right.
7	Q When Mr. Thao was put in cell 126 in your
8	opinion was he suicidal at that point in time?
9	A Most likely.
10	Q And how do you come to that conclusion?
11	A Because he continues to show behavorial
12	changes and he was making statements that asking the
13	officer to kill him and that he was going to kill himself.
14	He was yelling and screaming and making all kinds of noise,
15	making loud statements, and making a statement to the
16	effect that "I am done with you all." Similar statements
17	indicating that he was most likely extremely frustrated and
18	he was finding no way out, so that was the time he became
19	acutely suicidal.
20	Q Did that happen at the moment he was placed in
21	cell 126?
22	A As I said earlier, I cannot precisely say
23	which moment it happened. It happened through the process
24	while he was being taken down and applied stun gun and
25	carried to cell 126 and while he was in the cell.

1	that Mr. Thao was in an acute mental health crisis. I
2	think we have talked about that. What is your basis that
3	he was in an acute mental health crisis?
4	A Okay. Basically he, as I said, he was trying
5	to escape the jail. He was showing behavioral changes
6	while in the jail. As I mentioned, somebody is interfering
7	into our conversation here.
8	Q You mean on the Zoom?
9	COURT REPORTER: There is some feedback we are
10	picking up on somebody's audio.
11	Q (By Mr. Artus) So we were talking about your
12	Opinion No. 1 and why he was in an acute mental crisis and
13	you said it was because he was trying to escape and you're
14	saying his behavioral change?
15	A Yes. Basically his behavioral change. Then
16	it was while he was in cell 126 he was showing agitation,
17	evidence of frustration, screaming and making loud
18	statements. Then he was making statements of being killed
19	by the officers or having to be killed by the officers and
20	making direct statements of suicide. So all of these
21	things taken together, he was in acute mental health
22	crisis. Looking back we know.
23	Q You're saying a reasonable jailor should have
24	recognized that?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And he was just going to spend the night and
2	then get on the plane and then go over to California to the
3	prison there, right?
4	MR. BRYAN: Object to form.
5	A That's my understanding right.
6	Q (By Mr. Artus) Right. So but you said he was
7	agitated and scared that he would not be able to go to
8	California, right?
9	A Correct.
10	Q And then you said he became more frightened
11	when he was subdued and the TASER was applied to him?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And the officer did not try to use
14	deescalation techniques to calm down; is that right?
15	A Correct.
16	Q We talked about that?
17	A Right. Yes.
18	Q And you're saying when he was resisting and
19	fighting they should have just talked to him instead of
20	using hands on him or a TASER, right?
21	MR. BRYAN: Object to form; assumes facts not
22	in evidence.
23	A No, what I have testified earlier and continue
24	to testify that they would have used a deescalation
25	technique to calm him down before applying the stun gun on

him	
	1

2.0

Q (By Mr. Artus) Okay. Do you agree that sometimes you have to act in the moment? You have to make split second decisions and you have to react? When somebody is charging at you, you have to react in a second. You don't have time to think about I should just stand back and talk. Do you agree that's something that is very common that happens?

MR. BRYAN: Object to form.

A Those situations do happen. Then that's a situation where they think they are putting other people in danger having a gun or making direct statements of, you know, threats and killing others.

Q (By Mr. Artus) All right. If somebody -- if
I'm a jailor and an inmate comes running at me, my adrenal
goes up. I react. I got to say it's not going to be my
first thing to do is say I want to talk to him. I'm going
to try to get him subdued so I don't get harmed and someone
else doesn't get harmed. Is that appropriate?

MR. BRYAN: Object to form, assumes facts not in evidence,; argumentative.

A He was not running at them. Even he was not running at the nurse. He was running past. He was about to run past the nurse.

Q (By Mr. Artus) Okay. So they could have just

1	let him run past him?
2	MR. BRYAN: Object to form; argumentative.
3	Q (By Mr. Artus) Is that what you're saying?
4	A I'm not saying that. Basically he could have
5	been, you know, cornered off and talked to him rather than
6	immediately applying stun gun.
7	Q Okay. Have we talked about the deescalation
8	that should have been done? Is there anything else that
9	you want to add to that?
10	A No.
11	Q You say in Opinion No. 3 that in cell 26 he
12	stated that he was going to commit suicide. Do you have
13	any evidence that any officer heard what the plaintiff was
14	saying?
15	A He did not make any direct specific statement
16	to an officer.
17	Q Okay. So Mr. Thao did not make any direct
18	statement to an officer that he was going to commit
19	suicide; you agree with that?
20	A Did not make any personal statement to an
21	officer, correct.
22	Q Right. So then it was up to the officer just
23	to overhear what he was saying, right?
24	MR. BRYAN: Object to form.
25	A The officers should have heard his continued



1	he failed to do so because.
2	Q And if he did that if he thought that, then
3	that would be in violation of policy?
4	A If he thought what?
5	Q If he thought that he, Mr. Thao, needed to be
6	seen by a nurse and didn't do it, that would be a violation
7	of policy, right?
8	A Right. That's correct.
9	Q No. 7 you say the officers were grossly
10	indifferent. What does that mean, grossly indifferent?
11	A They disregarded substantially the serious
12	medical need, which is a suicide risk, that Mr. Thao was
13	demonstrating at the time he was in Grady County Jail. So
14	they filed to recognize the serious suicide risk, failed to
15	take appropriate action, and that was gross disregard or
16	indifference to his medical need.
17	Q And then with regard to the Oklahoma Jail
18	Standard, you said they stipulate medical triage screening.
19	That's the part you underlined, right?
20	A Correct.
21	Q And is it your opinion that the fed screening
22	prior to transport isn't good enough?
23	A I didn't hear you. Can you repeat that?
24	Q Is it your opinion that the medical that
25	the screening that the federal, Feds, the Marshal's



Pages 102

1	that was provided to jailors at the Grady County Jail?
2	A Well, we talked about the slides and the power
3	point presentation that I reviewed.
4	Q And there was nothing wrong with that, right?
5	MR. BRYAN: Object to form.
6	A Again, you know that I don't agree with the
7	characterization of "nothing wrong." That's not the way I,
8	as an expert, look at these situations.
9	Q (By Mr. Artus) Well, the power point slides
10	were helpful would you agree they are helpful in
11	pointing out what suicide risks are and how to identify
12	them?
13	MR. BRYAN: Object to form.
14	A Yes, to some extent. They are not complete
15	but they point out to the risk factors and situations where
16	an inmate could be identified as a suicide risk.
17	Q (By Mr. Artus) Are you aware of any prior
18	suicides that occurred at the Grady County jail other than
19	Mr. Thao?
20	A No, I have not done an analysis of the prior
21	suicide attempts or suicides in Grady County Jail.
22	Q Are you going to be able to testify about
23	there being a pattern or series of suicides that occurred
24	that should have put the jail on notice of problems in
25	their training?



1 (By Mr. Artus) Did you know that the jail had 0 2 been inspected just a few days before this incident and had been found in compliance of no deficient practices? 3 4 А No. 5 Okay. You find that the Grady County Justice 0 6 Authority were grossly indifferent to Mr. Thao's needs. I understand it, it's your opinion that the -- is that what 7 8 you're finding? 9 Α Yes. 10 But what percentage of fault do you put on 0 11 Mr. Thao for committing suicide? I mean, he is the one 12 that did it? 13 MR. BRYAN: Object to form; negligence is not 14 an issue in these types of cases. 15 (By Mr. Artus) Does Mr. Thao have any fault in this? 16 17 My response --Α Doctor? 18 Q 19 I'm thinking you see. My response to that Α 20 question is the jail has the responsibility to protect him 21 from self-harm. Their responsibility is to identify, 22 recognize a suicide risk and take appropriate action. 23 that context, it is totally 100 percent responsibility of 24 the staff to follow proper procedures and practice. I think I asked this already but who do you 25 Q



1	think, what jailor or person do you feel was deliberately
2	indifferent to Mr. Thao?
3	MR. BRYAN: Objection; asked and answered.
4	A All officers who were on duty that particular
5	night at Grady County Jail.
6	Q (By Mr. Artus) Okay. What policy or practice
7	do you believe was so woefully bad that it rose to the
8	level of deliberate indifference?
9	A Well, the policy
10	MR. BRYAN: Objection; it calls for a legal
11	conclusion.
12	A From a psychiatric point, the policies are
13	okay or were appropriate but the implementation, as I have
14	noted in my report, was the main problem here.
15	Q (By Mr. Artus) And that would be a lack of
16	training, correct?
17	A Lack of training and lack of action by the
18	officers.
19	Q Okay. Do you agree though that if a jailor
20	recognized that Mr. Thao was suicidal and then failed to do
21	anything about it, that would be against the policies;
22	would you agree with that?
23	A If the jailor has recognized and failed to do
24	anything to mitigate that suicide risk, that would be
25	considered the basis for gross disregard of the serious

1	that?	
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	You testified earlier that you did not believe
4	the officer	needed to use the TASER in this situation,
5	correct?	
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	And you stated that the officer or the other
8	officers the	y should have engaged in some different
9	deescalation	techniques instead, correct?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	Are those two opinions essentially the sum
12	total of you	r opinions about Mr. Henneman's conduct in this
13	case?	
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. And do you agree that you have not
16	reviewed his	deposition, correct?
17	А	Correct, yet.
18	Q	Do you intend to review it?
19	А	I leave the opportunity open for me to review
20	it. If addi	tional supplemental reports is to be requested,
21	I will do so	•
22	Q	Okay. You testified that you are not going to
23	give any opi	nion as to the technique of the use of the
24	TASER, corre	ct?
25	А	Correct.



Pages 108

1	Q Other than what we have talked about, are you
2	going to make any sort of opinion that the use of the TASER
3	from a law enforcement perspective, was reasonable or not?
4	A That is correct.
5	Q Did you conduct any sort of psychiatric
6	evaluation of Mr. Henneman's state of mind when he used the
7	TASER?
8	A No.
9	Q Did you evaluate Mr. Henneman's intent when he
10	used the TASER?
11	A No, I have not.
12	Q Do you know what Mr. Henneman's responsibility
13	and duties were in the jail on this night?
14	A As of now, I do not. I think the deposition
15	may show that.
16	Q Okay. And I will tell you that Mr. Henneman
17	was the transport officer that night. Do you understand
18	that he had no responsibility for conducting any of the
19	site checks on Mr. Thao that night?
20	A If he was the transport officer then he did
21	not have any responsibility to do site monitoring.
22	Q Okay. And you agree that as the transport
23	officer, he was not the booking officer. He wasn't the
24	officer sitting in that booking area near the cell, right?
25	A Correct.

1	Q Have you seen any evidence in the record in
2	anything that you reviewed that Mr. Henneman himself heard
3	any of the comments made by Mr. Thao while he was in the
4	cell?
5	A Not that I'm aware of.
6	Q When we look at the report and went through
7	Opinions 3-9 there were references to officers just kind of
8	in a general view. I just want to confirm that you were
9	not referring to Officer Henneman in any of those opinions,
10	correct?
11	A Well, except in so far as he did not
12	participate in any sort of deescalation technique or
13	procedures to calm Mr. Thao down.
14	Q So once Mr. Henneman assisted in placing
15	Mr. Thao in the cell, you have no further opinion as to his
16	interaction or knowledge with regard to Mr. Thao, correct?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Have you ever worked as a detention officer?
19	A No.
20	Q Have you worked as a law enforcement officer
21	in any way?
22	A No.
23	Q Do you hold yourself out as an expert in law
24	enforcement procedures?
25	A I have opined on correctional practices

Pages 110

1	because of my long term association with the Department of
2	Corrections and working in jails, and having consulted on
3	numerous cases across the country. Even though I'm not a
4	correctional officer, I can opine on correctional practices
5	as it applies to the procedures and practice of suicidal
6	inmates.
7	Q Okay. So your experience and your
8	qualifications are to the extent that the policies and
9	procedures relate to medical or mental health care for
10	inmates, correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And correctional practices, yes?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you don't have any experience or
15	qualifications in opining as to use of force policies in
16	correction facilities, correct?
17	A I have experience. I have opined on a couple
18	of cases in a couple of lawsuits involving use of force on
19	mentally ill persons. I have two cases I have testified.
20	Q Have you ever been trained on how to use a
21	TASER?
22	A No.
23	Q Have you ever been certified to use a TASER?
24	A Say that question again.
25	Q Have you ever been certified on how to use a
ļ	

1	TASER?	
2	A 1	No.
3	Q 1	Do you own a TASER?
4	A 1	No.
5	Q 1	Have you been tased?
6	A 1	No.
7	Q	You agree with me that you are not an expert
8	in the proper	use of a TASER?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q 1	Do you understand that there are two methods
11	in which a TA	SER can be used?
12	A	I read about it but I don't have any opinion
13	on the proper	way of using a TASER.
14	Q	In your preparation for this report and your
15	opinion, did	you review any medical literature regarding
16	the use of a '	TASER in a jailor or prison setting?
17	A 1	No, I have not.
18	Q 1	Have you reviewed any medical literature
19	regarding the	utility of a TASER?
20	A 1	No.
21	Q 1	Did you review any medical literature
22	regarding the	decreased likelihood of serious injury to a
23	subject when a	a TASER is used?
24	I	MR. BRYAN: Object to form.
25	A :	I have not reviewed any medical literature on



1	that but I am aware of the point you are making.
2	Q (By Ms. Dark) Do you agree that you are not an
3	expert in the use of intermediate force by a defense
4	office?
5	A No.
6	Q You agree that you are not an expert on the
7	hands-on use of force on an inmate?
8	A No, I am not.
9	Q I'm sorry, I'm asking it confusingly. You do
LO	agree with me, correct?
L1	A Yes.
L2	Q And you agree that you are not an expert in
L3	the deescalation techniques in a prison or jail setting?
L4	MR. BRYAN: Object to form.
L5	A I know enough about the indications and the
L6	procedures of deescalation techniques, which I have written
L7	in my book.
L8	Q (By Ms. Dark) Which book is this?
L9	A The book I have written titled "Suicide in
20	Jails and Prisons, Preventive and Legal Perspectives."
21	Q Does any of your experience or book writing
22	conclude that there is a tie or a link between being tased
23	and a subsequent suicide attempt?
24	MR. BRYAN: Objection; outside of the scope.
25	A No.

1	Q (By Ms. Dark) Did you perform any evaluation
2	of Mr. Henneman's use of the TASER under the Graham versus
3	Connor Standard?
4	A No.
5	Q Did you perform an evaluation of
6	Mr. Henneman's use of force under the Kingsley Standard?
7	A No.
8	Q Do you know what either of those legal
9	standards are or is I guess?
10	A I read about it but I have not studied them.
11	Q And you can't say with a reasonable degree of
12	certainty that any further deescalation techniques or
13	talking to Mr. Thao would have been fruitful or not,
14	correct?
15	MR. BRYAN: Object to form.
16	A The outcome of deescalation technique, you
17	know, I have no opinion on the outcome. My opinion is that
18	should have been tried before stun gun was used. That was
19	the only opinion I have.
20	Q (By Ms. Dark) You agree that the feelings of
21	pain are subjective to each person?
22	A You are asking a physician that question?
23	Q Yes.
24	A Yes.
25	Q You agree?



1	A The answer is obvious.
2	Q Right. You agree that it would be impossible
3	for one person, like you, to say exactly what kind or what
4	level of pain someone else, like Mr. Thao, would have felt
5	when he had been tased?
6	A There is no way to determine because the pain
7	is a subjective sensation. It depends upon various
8	variables. You know, for example if somebody has pain in
9	the legs due to peripheral neuropathy and the person is
10	suffering from acute chest pain, the pain in the legs is
11	not a problem. He's more concerned and perceives the chest
12	pain more acutely. So it depends upon the circumstances.
13	Depends upon number of variables.
14	Q You're not going to offer an opinion to the
15	jury that you know with a reasonable degree of certainty
16	that Mr. Thao felt pain when he was tased, right?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q And you're not going to opine whether
19	Mr. Henneman's decision to use the TASER was appropriate
20	according to the training he had received?
21	A Correct.
22	Q You're not offering any opinion that a single
23	tase caused Mr. Thao to commit suicide, correct?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q Have you ever had any of your expert opinions



Pages 117

1	occurrences of similar events in a jail. That is the
2	typical definition of psychological autopsy.
3	Q And that's what you did here?
4	A Um, to the extent that this was a
5	consultation, even though I was not part of the jail, this
6	was somewhat similar to that process.
7	Q Do you agree that when you're trying to
8	determine what caused a suicide, the more information you
9	have, the more accurate the results you can get?
10	MR. BRYAN: Object to form.
11	A Sometimes more information would be helpful;
12	sometimes whatever information we have might be adequate to
13	provide that opinion.
14	Q (By Ms. Dark) Did you attempt any interview
15	with any of Justin Thao's family?
16	A No, I didn't.
17	Q Did you review any of his past medical or
18	psychological records?
19	A No.
20	Q Did you attempt to determine what previous
21	psychiatric diagnoses he may have had?
22	A I have not.
23	Q Did you review his juvenile history, like
24	psychiatric records and criminal records or anything like
25	that?

1	A No, I have not.
2	Q And you didn't personally inspect the scene,
3	correct?
4	A No, I have not.
5	Q Did you review any of Mr. Thao's writings or
6	journals?
7	A No, I have not.
8	Q Did you review any of his social media or
9	communication with his family?
10	A No, I have not.
11	Q Have you asked to look at any of this?
12	A No, I have not.
13	Q Did you review any of his arrest reports or
14	criminal records from the charges that he was in the jail
15	on at this point?
16	A No, I have not.
17	Q Did you review any of this school records?
18	A No, no.
19	Q Did you review whether he was in any
20	special-education classes or had an IEP?
21	A No.
22	Q Did you explore whether there was a history of
23	suicide in his family?
24	A I don't have information but if I have those
25	information that would be very helpful to my opinions in

1	this case.
2	Q Did you attempt to evaluate any of the
3	relationships he had with any of his peers?
4	A No, I have not.
5	Q Were you aware that when he was arrested he
6	had 50 pounds of marijuana in his possession?
7	A I was not.
8	Q Then I'm assuming you didn't evaluate whether
9	he might be in trouble with any sort of drug cartel or
10	someone for having had that marijuana confiscated?
11	A Well, that information would have been helpful
12	to bolster my opinion further.
13	Q Because more information, the better, right?
14	MR. BRYAN: Objection; misstates his
15	testimony.
16	A I already testified sometimes more information
17	is helpful; sometimes not.
18	Q (By Ms. Dark) Did you evaluate if he had any
19	sort of gang activity?
20	A No, I have not.
21	Q Did you evaluate his financial condition?
22	A No.
23	Q Did you evaluate if he had been involved in
24	any prior traumatic incidents or events?
25	A No, I have not.

Pages 120

1 0 Do you know if there was a family history of 2 mental illness? 3 That would be helpful to know. Do you know if there was a family history of 0 4 5 substance abuse? 6 Again, that would be helpful to know. Α Did you know that prior medical providers of 7 8 Mr. Thao had determined he had chronic substance abuse 9 before he went into the jail? 10 That I can imagine he could have but, again, I Α 11 have not evaluated that point. 12 Do you agree with me that all of these and 13 more things could be relevant if your were tyring to do a 14 complete psychiatric autopsy? Object to form; outside of the 15 MR. BRYAN: 16 scope. The information would be helpful but the 17 Α point I have already made here, the Grady County Justice 18 19 Authority did not do any screening. They have 20 responsibility to identify any of the factors that you have 21 mentioned. So that's the more significant point here. 22 (By Ms. Dark) Well, he was in their custody Q 23 for just a few hours but you wrote this report over the course of several months, right? 24 That doesn't absolve the jail's responsibility 25 Α

